

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 ROBBYJOE V. YABUT,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
7 DEPARTMENT,

8 Defendant

Case No.: 2:24-cv-01014-APG-MDC

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 13]

9 On April 14, 2025, Magistrate Judge Couvillier recommended that I dismiss this case
10 because plaintiff Robbyjoe Yabut did not file an amended complaint by the given deadline. ECF
11 No. 13. Yabut did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report
12 and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo
13 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is
14 made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the
15 district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if*
16 *objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

17 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Couvillier’s report and recommendation
18 (ECF No. 13) is accepted, and this case is dismissed without prejudice. The clerk of court is
19 instructed to close this case.

20 DATED this 30th day of April, 2025.

21 
22 _____
23 ANDREW P. GORDON
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE